## SUBRECIPIENT COOPERATIVE PURCHASING OR PIGGYBACKING CONTRACT PRE-AWARD FORM

This form applies to cooperative purchasing or piggybacking contract pre-awards.

A "cooperative purchasing contract" means a contract entered into between the State (or a local government within the State) or an eligible nonprofit entity and one or more vendors under which the vendors agreed to provide an option for **others** to purchase specific goods or services in the future at the established contract prices. See FTA Circular 4220.1F ch. I, § 5(cc); BPPM §§ 1.7.51, 3.3.5. "Cooperative purchasing contracts" are indefinite delivery/indefinite quantity ("IDIQ") contracts awarded for the benefit of **others** and not required to contain minimum and maximum quantities that would otherwise limit additional purchases.

A "piggybacking contract" means a contract entered into by a recipient/grantee or subrecipient/subgrantee and one or more vendors under which the vendors agreed to permit the assignment of contract rights for **others** to purchase all or a portion of the specified deliverables under the terms originally advertised, competed, evaluated, and awarded. See FTA Circular 4220.1F ch. V, § 7(a)(2); BPPM § 3.3.4. Use of a "piggybacking contract" is impermissible when the purchase would call for an increase in quantities that were not originally bid on and evaluated as part of the contract award (i.e., **others** may only acquire the unanticipated excess contract rights to purchase items out of the minimum and maximum quantities originally competed).

## FORM INSTRUCTIONS

The City of Phoenix ("City"), acting by and through its Public Transit Department ("PTD"), is the designated recipient of Federal Transit Administration ("FTA") funds. The City is obligated to ensure that third-party contracts comply with applicable federal, state, and local requirements. Because of these obligations, PTD reviews FTA third-party contracts before approving funding to ensure the costs of third-party procurements comply with federal laws/regulations and the terms of the City's underlying grant or cooperative purchasing or piggybacking contract.

The purpose of this form is to standardize the third party procurement review process across all subrecipients and procurement methods. Please complete the form, then email all of the required documentation to <a href="https://example.com/PTDcompliance@phoenix.gov">PTDcompliance@phoenix.gov</a>

The standard processing time is ten business days to complete the initial review. Failure to complete the form in its entirety and provide all of the information required will result in delays for the City's review.

Pre-Award Review Sequence	Responsible Party
Prior to contract award, send an email to <a href="mailto:PTDcompliance@phoenix.gov">PTDcompliance@phoenix.gov</a> and attach this completed form with all required documentation in one package. Do not copy PTD employees on this email.	Subrecipient
Within ten business days of receipt, PTD will conduct a review of the pre- award documentation and supporting documents and provide feedback.	PTD
PTD will provide written approval to proceed with contract award.	PTD

**Reminder:** Promptly after contract execution, Subrecipient will send an email to <a href="mailto:PTDcompliance@phoenix.gov">PTDcompliance@phoenix.gov</a> and attach the fully executed contract document.

Subrecipient Pre-Award – Cooperative Purchasing or Piggybacking Contract				
Subrecipient Name:	Subrecipient Primary Contact Name:			
Subrecipient Primary Contact Phone:	Subrecipient Primary Contact Email:			
Subrecipient Contract Number:	Subrecipient Contract Title:			
Subrecipient Procurement Type (check one):	Subrecipient Initial Contract Term: years			
<ul><li>☐ Cooperative Purchasing</li><li>☐ Piggybacking</li></ul>	Subrecipient Option Term:years (if applicable)			
Subrecipient Aggregate Contract Value: \$				
Originating Entity Name:	Section (and Page Number) of Originating Solicitation/Contract That Authorizes Cooperative Purchasing or Piggybacking:			
Originating Solicitation & Contract Numbers:				
Originating Entity Contract Effective Date for Start of Contract Term:	Originating Entity Contract Expiration Date (including all optional extensions):			
For Piggybacking contracts, complete the following:				
Originating Entity Aggregate Contract Value: \$_				
Originating Entity Remaining Contract Value Available for Use by Subrecipient: \$				
Is This the First Purchase By Subrecipient Off of the Originating Solicitation/Contract?	If Cooperative Purchasing Contract and Federal Terms/Clauses Were Not Included in the Originating Solicitation/Contract, Will Federal Terms/Clauses Be Included in the First Purchase by Subrecipient Off of the Originating Solicitation/Contract?			
☐ yes ☐ no				
Are Federal Terms/Clauses Included in the Originating Solicitation/Contract?	☐ yes ☐ no ☐ not applicable			
☐ yes ☐ no	[NOTE: Piggybacking Contract Not Permitted Unless Federal Terms/Clauses Included in the Originating Solicitation/Contract]			

	•	ing details on how cooperative puing agreements, or purchasing sc	•	
FAIN Number:		Federal Funding Percentage:		
Federal Grant Type:		Federal Grant Funding Amount:		
Cooperative Document Subr	nittal		Check	
1. Originating Entity's Solicitation, Addenda, List of Offerors, and Contract				
Originating Entity's Procurement Method, Contract Term, Contract Type (below)				
3. Subrecipient's Cost or Price Analysis				
4. Subrecipient's Liquidated Damages Determination (if applicable)				
<ul> <li>5.Subrecipient's Draft Cooperative Purchasing or Piggybacking Contract (e.g., purchase order, linking agreement, purchasing schedule, etc.) for Execution</li> <li>FTA Clauses/Certifications</li> <li>Exhibits/Attachments</li> </ul>				
Subrecipient's Tracking Spreadsheet of Contract Milestone Dates (if applicable)				
COOPERATIVE PURCHASING Originating Entity's Procurement Method (Carried Over to Subrecipient)				
Originating Entity Method	Rationale			
<b>Micro-Purchase</b> [Mini-Purchase]	Amount is less than \$10,000, or entity procurement code threshold amount, whichever is less.			
(Select all that apply)	Reviewed for cumulative threshold and split purchases			
Small Purchase [Request for Quote (RFQ) Informal Procurement]	<ul><li>☐ Amount is less than \$250,000, or entity procurement code threshold amount, whichever is less.</li><li>☐ Clear, complete and adequate specification or purchase description</li></ul>			
(Select all that apply)	Three or more quotes obtained			

Invitation for Bid [IFB Formal Procurement] (Select all that apply)	thres	mount is \$250,000 or greater, or entity procurement code shold amount, whichever is less.  Clear, complete and adequate specification or purchase description selection is made based on price two or more responsible offerors willing to compete
Request for Proposals [RFP Formal Procurement] (Select all that apply)	☐ A thres	irm-fixed-price contract is used mount is \$250,000 or greater, or entity procurement code shold amount, whichever is less.  Clear, complete and adequate specification or purchase description selection is made based on price and qualifications (and other uation criteria) wo or more responsible offerors willing to compete solicitation provides for discussion or negotiation, if needed
Request for Qualifications [RFQu Formal Procurement] (Select all that apply)	thres	mount is \$250,000 or greater, or entity procurement code shold amount, whichever is less.  dervices directly relate or lead to construction, alteration, or repair al property  clear, complete and adequate specification or purchase description delection is made based on qualifications (and other evaluation ria)  wo or more responsible offerors willing to compete colicitation provides for discussion or negotiation, if needed
Originating Er		OOPERATIVE PURCHASING Contract Term (Carried Over to Subrecipient)
Originating Entity Contract To	erm	Justification
☐ 5 year term and no options textend	to	This contract term is most advantageous because a five year base contract term provides stability to meet the needs of the Subrecipient.
year initial term and optional extension(s) years per option	for	This contract term is most advantageous because a base contract with the identified renewals provides flexibility to meet the needs of the Subrecipient.
If term is beyond five years, how the contract term no longer than minimally necessary to accompl the purpose of the contract?	1	

COOPERATIVE PURCHASING Originating Entity's Contract Type (Carried Over to Subrecipient)			
Originating Entity Contract Type	Selection		
☐ Firm Fixed Price	This contract type is most advantageous because it includes a price that remains fixed irrespective of the contractor's cost experience in performing the contract.		
☐ Firm Fixed Price w/ Economic Adjustment	This contract type is most advantageous because it includes a price that remains fixed irrespective of the contractor's cost experience in performing the contract but allows for necessary economic adjustments.		
☐ Cost Reimbursement	This contract type is most advantageous because it is preferable to permit a contractor to be reimbursed for costs which are allowed and allocated in accordance with the terms provided in the contract.		
☐ Indefinite Delivery or Quantity	This contract type is most advantageous because the Subrecipient does not know the total amount of goods or services that will be needed during the contract term but needs a vendor under contract that can provide the good or service as needed.		
☐ Incentive Contract	This contract type is most advantageous because it is in the best interest of the Subrecipient to provide incentives for performance or delivery as defined in contract terms.		
☐ Time and Materials (Restricted)	This contract type is advantageous when no other type of contract is suitable and the contract will identify a total contract amount that the contractor cannot exceed.		
Other Contract Type:	This contract type is most advantageous because:		
SUBRECIPIENT	TASK ORDERS AND ON-CALL CONSULTING		
For IDIQ awards, complete the foll	owing:		
1. Which of the following applies for this IDIQ award:    Firm Fixed Price   Cost-Plus-Fixed-Fee (CPFF)   Cost-Reimbursement Basis			
2. Task Orders to be used by Subrecipient? Yes ☐ No ☐			
3. On-Call Consulting to be used by Subrecipient? Yes \( \square\) No \( \square\)			
If "Yes" for On-Call Consulting, complete the following:			
a. A breakdown of consultan	t rates provided in the Price or Cost Analysis? Yes 🗌 No 🗌		

b. Subrecipient understands that if additional labor categories are required for a specific task, the rate quoted shall be subject to review and approval by PTD Compliance. Yes ☐ No ☐					
SUBRECIPIENT ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS AND AUTHORIZED SIGNATURES					
By signing below, Subrecipient verifies that the foregoing information is true and correct.					
Procurement Officer PRINTED NAME	Procurement Officer SIGNATURE	Date			
Procurement Director PRINTED NAME	Procurement Director SIGNATURE	Date			